

DUBAI WORLD CONGRESS FOR SELF-DRIVING TRANSPORT

OCT | 2019

Testing and Validating Autonomous Vehicles using PTV Vissim Traffic Simulator with a Case Study in Dubai

Dr. Jochen Lohmiller, Hussein Falih

Manager Microscopic Simulation, Transport Modeller, Project Management & Services

PTV Group



www.sdcongress.com











40 Years

2,500 Cities

120 Partners



E International Transport Forum







PTV Vissim product introduction



the mind of movement



What is **PTV Vissim**?

Multimodal microscopic traffic flow simulation



- Traffic flow model which moves each participant according to sophisticated movement model
- Full traffic control model (traffic signals, VMS, etc.)



Traffic Simulation

- Multimodal
- Test before implementation / real world tests
- Evaluate impact on
 - Throughput
 - Traffic flow
 - Safety
- Optimize Signals





SIMULATING DRIVING BEHAVIOUR

The modelling of driving behaviour is the core of traffic simulation. Vehicle movement models are a key element in being able to replay dynamics in a realistic manner. A distinction is made between three models:

FOLLOWING MODEL

The psycho-physical car following model by Prof. Reiner Wiedemann was developed at the Karlaruhe Institute of Technology in 1974 and 1999, it describes the movement of traffic on a single larse. The model is implemented in the PTV Visum simulation software and can be adjusted by the user wa parameters in line with local conditions.

The vehicle following model describes 4 states:

1. FREE DRIVING

The driver proceeds at his or her desired speed provided there are no obstacles in fram of him or her. Such obstacles may include, for example, draw moving vehicles, centralite lights or potential collisions with whiches changing lanes.

2 APPROACHING

The driver recognises that there the above moving vehicle in front of him online and brakes within the desired gap, in PTV Visitin, it is possible to define different driver and sehicle characterictics for different vehicle classes and types, such as the rate of deceloratios when approaching the vehicle infront.

3. FOLLOWING

The driver tries to maintain the driver tries to maintain the driverstreas webicle in from the driverstreas webicle in from the food to behind multiplet is the two webicle. Vi distance between the two webicles oscillates - some and the driverse the speed is aligned to mation to higher, sometimes lowers.

4 BRAKING

E a vehicle reduces its speed downstream, than the vehicle behind must also broke. For each vehicle, Visian checks in each aimulation time alap the distance and the differences in speed in relation to the vehicle is frant.

LANE CHANGING There are two different types of lane changing:

1. FREE LANE CHANGING

Free fane changing takes place when overtaking alow mening vehicles, i.e. when an individual's deared speed is higher than the person in feast. Attention must be paid to ensure that vehicles in the other laws are not unduly affected by this.

2. NECESSARY LANE CHANGING

This occurs if the driver needs to change lane, e.g. in order to Sollow a noute. The closer the driver gate to the declaran making paint; the more aggressively the driver betwees and is prepared to accept the hindrances posed by other drivers. Other selficies also co-operate in order to allow the driver to change lanes. -

NON-LANE BASED BEHAVIOUR

The choice of position within a larve is always important if vehicles are able to overtake each other within a particular larve and are able to be side-by-side. This is the case on cycle paths or on regular utrents in center on cycle paths or on regular utrents in center on cycle paths or on regular

LATERAL BEHAVIOUR

WITHIN A LANE

Traffic Engineering

Capacity analysis

Proof that performance (traffic flow) is ensured

Testing of signal controllers

Ensure quality of signal controllers of traffic responding signals

Visualization

Demonstrate new infrastructure solution to non-engineers, e.g. decision makers

Traffic is very stochastic: Not interested at single scenarios / situations \rightarrow all possible scenarios

Monte Carlo simulations with different situations

e.g. driver characteristics, varying demand (AM/PM peak), weather conditions, etc.





Requirements

Traffic engineer

- Important: Road capacity
- Sacrificing: individual behavior, e.g. acceleration

Automotive, change in priorities

- Important: realistic individual behavior
- Not-important: road capacity







Information Classification: General

Strictly private and confidential

Introduction **COEXist**

CoEXist is a European project (May 2017 – April 2020) which aims at preparing the transition phase during which automated and conventional vehicles will co-exist on cities' roads.

The **mission of CoEXist** is to systematically **increase the capacity of road authorities** and other urban mobility stakeholders **to get ready for the transition** towards a shared road network with an increasing number of automated vehicles, using the same road network as conventional vehicles.

CoEXist aims at enabling mobility stakeholders to get "AV-ready" (Automated Vehiclesready). To achieve its objective, **CoEXist develops a specific framework and both microscopic and macroscopic traffic models that take the introduction of automated vehicles into account.**

The tools developed in the framework of CoEXist are tested by road authorities in the **four project cities**: Helmond (NL), Milton Keynes (UK), Gothenburg (SE) and Stuttgart (DE) in order to assess the **"AV-readiness" of their local-designed use cases**.

https://www.h2020-coexist.eu







10

CoEXist – WP2: AV-ready microscopic and macroscopic traffic modelling tools



Demand

Modelling

Data collection & validation of AV-ready microscopic traffic flow simulator

Collect data of two CAV on the public test site for validation of microscopic modelling tool









This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 723201-2

PTV GROUP

www.h2020-coexist.eu

12

Results

Safety distance without connection much higher than in the communication case



Automated vehicles simulation

Addition of new driving behaviors to replicated connected & automated vehicles.

 Urban (motorized)	17	
AV Cautious	13	_
AV Normal	19	
AV Aggressive	25	
AV Normal - Platoon	18	
CAV / AV	18	
CAV/AV	21	







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 723201-2

PTV GROUP

www.h2020-coexist.eu

14





CoEXist – WP4: Demonstration of CoEXist tools in road authorities **Gothenburg (VTI) Milton Keynes Helmond (TASS)** (TRL & University of Cambridge) **Stuttgart** (University of Stuttgart) Ξ Macroscopic Microscopic



Why co-simulation?

Challenges

 Inclusion of unexpected or non-connected objects

• Scenario completeness

- Self organization of vehicles
- Unrealistic/Unachievable scenario





Solutions



Vehicle sensing (object detection)

Vehicle communication (other vehicle or pedestrian's intent)

Running different scenarios taking into account intentions

Real-world physics

Results analysis and visualization

Vehicle dispatching







Solutions

Vehicle dispatching







Information Classification: General



PTV GROUP

the mind of movement

Simulation package PTV Vissim

PTV Vissim provides traffic in the simulation tool chain and a link to:

- Sensor simulation like PreScan, ...
- Vehicle dynamics like CarMaker, VTD...
- Visualization with rFpro, ...







DrivingSimulator.DLL Interface

Applications

- External vehicle control
 - Human-in-the-loop (HITL) \rightarrow Driving simulator
 - Software / Hardware-in-the-loop (SIL / HIL)
- Positions in world coordinates are exchanged, the external vehicle can be moved completely freely inside the network.
- Existing example for Unity





P

VISSIM



the mind of movement

GROUP

PTV

Unity

AR windshield

- prognosed trajectory
- greenband for signals
- route in automated mode





Example: Co-Simulation Vissim + CarMaker



Test Driving in a Virtual Environment

Case Study: City Walk, Dubai



Study Location

Intersection of Al Safa Street with Al Mustaqbal Street in City Walk, Dubai.



Simulation Results

It is expected that increasing AVs fleet will manage to decrease the delay in the model. In the first case study scenario, the average network delay in the base model (0% AVs) is 57 seconds. The number goes down as the AVs percentage goes up.

AV Percentage	Base model Average Delay [s]	AV Average Delay [s]	Network Difference			
0%	57	57	0%			
20%		53	-7%			
40%		49	-16%			
60%		46	-20%			
80%		41	-28%			
100%		35	-39%			







Simulation Results

When platooning is active, the simulation results shows better results for the overall network average delay.

With Platooning							
AV Percentage	Base model Average Delay [s]	AV Average Delay [s]	Network Difference				
0%	57	57	0%				
20%		49	-15%				
40%		43	-25%				
60%		39	-32%				
80%		36	-37%				
100%		26	-55%				



Information Classification: General

30

Strictly private and confidential

Simulation Results







Platooning Parameters

Platooning in Vissim has different parameters to be set by the user depending on his or her preference. In this scenario, the following parameters were using to model a suitable platooning:

- Maximum number of platoon vehicles;
 5 vehicles
- Maximum platoon approach distance; 50 m
- Maximum platoon desired speed; 70 km/hr
- Platooning follow-up gap time; 0.1
- Platooning minimum headway; 0.5







DUBAI WORLD CONGRESS FOR SELF-DRIVING TRANSPORT

OCT | 2019

Questions?

www.sdcongress.com